Severe kyphoscoliosis secondary to neurofibromatosis. Case presentation
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ABSTRACT
Dystrophic scoliosis in neurofibromatosis is identifiable by being an acute-angle kyphoscoliosis involving a short segment of the spine and producing severe deformity that associated with the dystrophic changes of the spine result in real surgical challenges. We report the clinical case of a 15-year male with severe dystrophic kyphoscoliosis at the thoracolumbar area, with apex at T9, scoliosis with a Cobb angle of 107°, and segmental kyphosis of 110.7°. The patient underwent a three-stage surgery, performed through a posterior approach, involving a vertebral column resection (VCR) and titanium mesh replacement, and achieving a kyphosis correction of 56% and a scoliosis correction of 59.8%. The patient experienced no major complications nor sequelae and had a favorable course. The VCR is a powerful and demanding surgical technique that allows for the management of the complex kyphoscoliosis deformity to achieve spinal balance; however, it is not without complications, especially neurological and pulmonary complications, which may be unavoidable. Our patient's quality of life has improved significantly.
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INTRODUCTION

Neurofibromatosis is a phakomatosis of multifactorial etiology with an autosomal-dominant genetic trait, although 50% of cases arise due to de novo mutations. Neurofibromatosis involves the proliferation of cells from the neural crest, which can occur in both the peripheral and central nervous systems.† There are four clinical forms of neurofibromatosis. Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), also known as von Recklinghausen disease, is one of the most common, and its clinical manifestations are characterized by the presence of neurofibromas throughout the body and café-au-lait spots in the skin and other ectodermal tissues.‡ The incidence of NF1 is 1 in 3,000 live births.¶
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The musculoskeletal manifestations in NF1 include: osteoporosis, osteomalacia, osteopenia, shortness of stature, and macrocephaly. NF1 most common orthopedic manifestations are scoliosis and congenital pseudoarthrosis (usually of the tibia) (Table).4

Table. Diagnostic criteria for neurofibromatosis type 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Two or more of the following must be present:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Six or more café au lait spots:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥15 mm in diameter in postpubertal individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. ≥ 2 neurofibromas of any type or one plexiform neurofibroma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Freckling in the axillary or inguinal regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Optic nerve glioma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. ≥ 2 iris Lisch nodules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. A distinctive bone lesion:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphenoid bone dysplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasia or thinning of long bone cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. A first-degree relative with neurofibromatosis type 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taken from reference 3.

In NF1 patients, scoliosis may be nondystrophic or dystrophic. Nondystrophic scoliosis has a presentation similar to that of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis, although with earlier onset (before age 7 years) and it may progress to dystrophic scoliosis.5 Typical features of dystrophic scoliosis include wedging of vertebral bodies with very sharp edges, significant vertebral rotation, widening of the spinal canal and the intervertebral foramina as well as rib thinning and paravertebral tumors.3 There is more kyphosis than scoliosis, with sharply angulated deformity affecting fewer than six spinal segments, associated with vertebral wedging.6

An aggressive approach for the management of the dystrophic curve is recommended: curvatures of less than 20° should be routinely observed at 6-month intervals, and curvatures greater than 20-40° require spinal fusion.6 Treatment of severe curves may include anterior support, and, as a general rule in acute kyphotic deformities, autografting and allografting to prevent pseudarthrosis.

We report this case because there are no Peruvian reports on severe kyphoscoliosis, and perform a literature review on this condition.

**CLINICAL CASE REPORT**

A 15-year-old male, of mestizo ethnicity, student, with no significant family history presents with an NF1 diagnosis, thoracolumbar deformity, dorsal pain that limits his daily activities, and inability to perform physical exercise due to respiratory distress (the patient reported shortness of breath).

The physical examination revealed a large kyphosis in the left thoracolumbar region and numerous café-au-lait spots in the skin (Figure 1). The flat waist sign and Adams sign were both positive. Patient was 143cm tall, weighed 39kg, and had muscle strength 5/5. Patient presented normal bilateral sensory functions and normal and symmetric lower-limb stretch reflexes, negative bilateral Babinski signs, negative clonus, symmetric abdominal reflexes, and normal toe-heel walk.

The preoperative total score on the Scoliosis Research Society-22 questionnaire (SRS 22)7 was of 2.8/5 (function 3.2/5; pain 4.2/5; self-image 2.2/5; mental health 1.8/5; management satisfaction/dissatisfaction 2/5).

Coronal plane radiographic evaluation revealed a significant double-curve scoliosis deformity at thoracic level, showing dystrophic features and acute angulation; Cobb angle of the main thoracic curve was 107°, and Cobb angle of the proximal thoracic curve was 52.3°, which respectively decreased to 92.4° and 38.2° on side-bending radiographs; and coronal balance was -34.7 mm, with balanced shoulders. Sagittal plane radiographic evaluation revealed a 110.7° segmental kyphosis, a 130.3° kyphosis at T4-T12, a +71.8mm sagittal balance, a -80.4° lumbar lordosis, a 44.7° pelvic incidence, a 10.5° pelvic inclination, and a 34.2° sacral slope (Figure 2).
Figure 1. Photographs of the physical evaluation, frontal view, Adam’s test, lateral view.

Figure 2. Anteroposterior and lateral spinal radiography: Cobb angle of 107°, -34.7mm coronal balance, 110.7° segmental kyphosis, 130.3° overall kyphosis, and 71.8mm sagittal balance.
CT imaging revealed telltale dystrophic changes of neurofibromatosis, such as the widening of the spinal canal and the intervertebral foramina, rib thinning, pedicular dysplasia, and vertebral wedging. MRI imaging revealed the typical dural ectasia but no neurofibromas. At the apex level, there was a displacement of the spinal cord to the concavity (Figure 3).

Figure 3. A and C. Multisection helical computed tomography showing vertebral wedging, pedicular dysplasia, angulated vertebra, and rib thinning. B. Magnetic resonance imaging showing dural ectasia.

Due to the stiffness of the kyphosis and scoliosis deformities, a two-stage posterior corpectomy was considered, which involved two shorter surgeries and less surgery-associated blood loss. The surgical risk using the FOCOS score was 32, i.e., a FOCOS level 5, which entails up to an 80% risk of suffering a major complication during surgery. Pulmonary function testing revealed severe restrictive pulmonary function (secondary to scoliosis) and these spirometry values: forced expiratory volume in 1 second, 40%; forced vital capacity, 36%. Preoperative assessment resulted in cardiac risk II, ASA score II, and moderate pulmonary risk.
In such setting, the surgery was performed. The first surgical stage involved fixation with pedicle screw placement from T4 to L3 using a free-hand technique, with no fixation at the T9-T10 segment, and Ponte osteotomies at T7-T8 and T11-T12 levels. The first surgical stage took 4 hours and the total blood loss was 400cc. The second surgical stage involved the T9-T10 segment posterior release and T9 posterior corpectomy, which had to be postponed due to a sudden decrease in motor evoked potentials that was diagnosed as spinal cord shock. Spinal cord injury management was immediately instituted, following the NASCIS III protocol, with 30mg/kg methylprednisolone bolus, and 5.4mg/kg for 23 hours. Upon regaining consciousness, the patient presented with no sequelae and an ASIA score of E. The second surgical intervention took 7 hours and 40 minutes, and the total blood loss was 1000cc. The third surgical intervention completed the T9 corpectomy (Figure 4) and T8 and T10 discectomies using autograft-filled titanium mesh replacements; posterior autograft and allograft were placed and, finally, rods. The third surgical intervention took 6 hours and 30 minutes, and the total blood loss was 1500cc.

The overall surgical time was of 18 hours and 10 minutes, with a total blood loss of 2900cc. The patient remained hospitalized for 27 days, 3 of them in the intensive care unit. Postoperative immobilization was performed with a plaster corset for 6 months. The 6-month CT evaluation showed graft integration, and the plaster corset was consequently removed. At 1-year follow-up, the patient was 159.5cm tall and weighed 47.8 kg, with a body mass index (BMI) of 18.7. Postoperative radiographic measurements: Cobb angle of the main thoracic curve, 43°; Cobb angle of the proximal thoracic curve, 7°; there was a 59.8% and 86.6% correction, respectively; and coronal balance was -12.9mm, with balanced shoulders. Sagittal plane radiographic evaluation revealed a 48.6° segmental kyphosis, a 59.8° kyphosis at T4-T12, a -0.1 mm sagittal balance, a 69° lumbar lordosis, a 41.2° pelvic incidence, a 7.8° pelvic inclination, and a 33.4° sacral slope (Figure 5).
The patient was painless and was able to walk without impediment. Lower-limbs muscle strength was 5/5, and sensory functions were normal (Figure 6). The patient reports having returned to mild-moderate physical activity without suffering shortness of breath. SRS 22 score at 1-year follow-up was of 4.5/5 (function 4.4/5; pain 4.8/5; self-image 4.2/5; mental health 4.4/5; management satisfaction/dissatisfaction 5/5). At 2-year follow-up, the patient was 161cm tall and weighed 48.9kg, with an 18.8 BMI. Radiographic measurements: Cobb angle of the main thoracic curve, 43.1°, and Cobb angle of the proximal thoracic curve, 7°, showing no changes with respect to the previous year’s values; and coronal balance was +19.5mm, with balanced shoulders. Sagittal plane radiographic evaluation revealed a steady 48.6° segmental kyphosis as well as a 59.7° kyphosis at T4-T12, a 0.1 mm sagittal balance, a 65.7° lumbar lordosis, a 41.0° pelvic incidence, a 10.4° pelvic inclination, and a 30.6° sacral slope (Figure 7). There was no evidence of titanium mesh subsidence. The patient had returned to full sports activities. SRS 22 score at 2-year follow-up was of 4.7/5 (function 5/5; pain 5/5; self-image 4.4/5; mental health 4.2/5; management satisfaction/dissatisfaction 5/5).

**DISCUSSION**

Scoliosis is one of the most common musculoskeletal manifestations in neurofibromatosis patients, with an occurrence of 10%–64%. Nondystrophic scoliosis treatment is similar to idiopathic scoliosis. The challenge lies in treating dystrophic scoliosis in NF-1, which typically have an early-onset, acute angulation involving short segments, significant kyphosis, and the characteristics of the involved vertebrae. Our patient met the criteria for dystrophic scoliosis, as shown in Figure 3.
Figure 6. Comparative lateral and frontal view clinical photographs from before and after surgery.

Figure 7. Clinical photographs and radiographs from the two-year follow-up.
Literature states that dystrophic scoliosis, due to its aggressive nature, should only be managed with conservative treatment in curves less than 20°, unlike idiopathic scoliosis, for which surgical treatment may wait until 40-50°. For dystrophic scoliosis, surgical treatment should be performed with curves equal to or greater than 20°. Our patient presented with severe scoliosis, a Cobb coronal angle of 107°, and segmental kyphosis of 110.7°. Owing to the regular timely management of these deformities, such findings are currently scarcely seen at presentation; therefore, their correction is considered a real challenge not only involving the surgical procedure in itself but also for the high rate of complications it involves. The images show a significant coronal and sagittal imbalance, which requires an approach that would address both deformity and plane imbalance correction.

Our surgical strategy included posterior spine fixation from T4 to L3, T9 posterior corpectomy with titanium mesh replacement, and Ponte osteotomies at T7-T8 and T11-T12 levels. The FOCOS score is a method of surgical risk stratification based on preoperative risk factors and preoperative planning; the risk level predicts the occurrence of general and neurologic complications. Some procedures, such as osteotomies and VCR, are independent predictive factors for postoperative neurologic complications. The FOCOS score ranges from level 1 to 5; the higher the level, the higher the risk of sustaining a major complication, such as a neurologic complication. Our patient was level 5, with an 80% risk of suffering complications. The two-stage surgery was proposed owing to the average surgical time of said level, 367.2 ± 169.1 minutes, and estimated blood loss, 56.6±24.2% of the total blood volume.

Our overall surgical time was of 1090 minutes (3 surgeries), with a blood loss of 2900cc, amounting to 99% of the total calculated blood volume. Although there were no major long-term complications, there was a decrease in motor and somatosensory evoked potentials during the second surgery, which was diagnosed as spinal cord shock and managed following the NASCIS III protocol. Upon regaining consciousness, the patient made a full recovery (ASIA score E), which is consistent with FOCOS level 5 risk patients who have up to 42.1% chance of experiencing neurologic monitoring change.

During the past two decades, the treatment approach for severe kyphoscoliosis spinal deformities of the spine has been practically limited to the posterior-only approach, known as VCR, technique which has been popularized by Lenke et al. and aims at splitting the spine into two segments to allow for later been jointed and, thus, correcting the deformity. Creating such segmental defect results in considerable instability that requires provisional instrumentation to prevent spinal cord damage. VCR is a difficult and challenging procedure that should only be practiced by experienced surgeons. According to Schwab classification, VCR is a grade 5 procedure, involving removal of a vertebral body and both adjacent discs requires anterior support in defects greater than 5mm. The average surgical time is 6.6-9 hours, with an estimated blood loss of 1600-2280cc.

Complications rate in this type of osteotomies range from 58.5-70.6%. Auerbach et al. identified 3 risk factors for major complications: sagittal imbalance of 40mm or more, age 60 years and older, and the presence of 3 or more comorbidities; they also identified a significant association with surgical time greater than 8 hours. Most common intraoperative complications are lost neurogenic motor evoked potentials (27-29%) and blood loss >2000cc. In our case, both these complications occurred, with an overall blood loss of 2900cc (3 surgeries), the greatest intraoperative blood loss being of 1500cc. The deformity level, a blood loss that exceeds the estimated value, and the surgical time are associated with intraoperative complications. Lenke et al. report that there is no significant difference between perioperative and postoperative complications when comparing single-stage versus 2-stage surgeries, except for a more prolonged surgical time and the blood loss. The most common early complications are respiratory-related, including pneumonia, pleural effusions, and atelectases. Major complications in this type of surgeries range between 17% and 70.6%, with 8-35% being neurologic complications.

Our patient had no postoperative complications nor neurologic sequelae. Instrumentation failure rate is 14.3%, which commonly takes place within the first 2 years; failure risk factors include: anterior column defect >20mm, titanium mesh subsidence >5mm, and BMI>27. As of the 2-year follow-up, the patient had presented none of such complications and implant integration has been evidenced through CT scanning.

VCR is recommended in stiff curves, with flexibility < 35°, or when a >40° deformity reduction is attempted, achieving scoliosis and kyphoscoliosis correction of 54-67.2% and 60-90.9%, respectively. In our case, we achieved 62.1° (56.1%) segmental kyphosis correction, 64° (59.8%) scoliosis correction, and 70.5° (54.1%) overall kyphosis correction, with coronal and sagittal imbalance correction (21.8mm and 71.9mm improvement, respectively), with no significant changes at the two-year follow-up.
The SRS 22 questionnaire evaluates the patient’s level of satisfaction and performance before and after surgery, addressing five clinical domains: function, pain, self-image, mental health, and management satisfaction. The SRS 22 is a valid and reliable way to measure the quality of life in scoliosis patients. The SRS 22 has proven that surgery results in pain as well as the other domains improvement up to two years after surgery. The global score of our patient has had a favorable progression: 2.8 before surgery, 4.5 at one-year follow-up, and 4.7 at two-year follow-up; with a significant improvement in self-image, mental health, and management satisfaction, which reflects the clinical success in terms of patient perception.

CONCLUSIONS
The VCR is a powerful and demanding surgical technique that allows for the management of the complex kyphoscoliosis deformity to achieve spinal balance; however, it is not without complications, especially neurological and pulmonary complications, which may be unavoidable. The patient’s quality of life has improved significantly.
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